

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for conducting an interview with Applicant's attorney, David K. Sakata, on May 28, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney presented a proposed amendment for the sole interest of advancing prosecution and without giving propriety to the rejections. Regarding these amendments, it was argued during the interview that the reference does not disclose,

wherein with the computer-readable storage medium further stores computer-executable instructions for:

(i) maintaining an intra-group cache comprising information regarding a first overlay network peer group in which the peer participates; and

(ii) maintaining an inter-group cache comprising information regarding at least one overlay network peer group in which the peer does not participate. (amended claim 1).

Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to tentatively agree that the references did not disclose this subject matter. Applicant sincerely thanks the Examiner for this indication, and herein amends the claims as discussed during the interview.

Also during the interview, the § 112 rejections were discussed. The Examiner requested that Applicant elaborate on the term "near." In response, Applicant herein adds the phrase "physically or temporally," to claims 1, 2, 18, 19 and 32.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 were also discussed during the interview. In particular, Applicant's attorney proposed amending the claims to recite a "computer-readable storage medium."

Applicant understood the Examiner and the Supervising Examiner to tentatively agree that the claims, amended as proposed during the interview, would be allowable over at least cited portions of the references of record. Thus, the pending claims are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.